

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/697,238	10/31/2003	Kazuo Okada	SHO-0046	9021	
23353	7590 05/03/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC			HSU, R	HSU, RYAN	
LION BUILD 1233 20TH S	DING TREET N.W., SUITE 501	l	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20036		3714		
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/03/2004	DATE MAIL ED: 05/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	L				
Office Action Summary		10/697,238	OKADA ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Ryan Hsu	3714					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	S				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA: 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this community DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		•	•					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 J	une 2004.						
•	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
· —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
•—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.							
7)	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•					
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje	ected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-1	52.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document		19(a)-(d) or (f).					
	Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document		lication No					
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Burea	ority documents have been re-		je				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	ceived.					
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum						
3) 🔯 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ir No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Infor	lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/697,238

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loose et al. (EP 1,260,928 A2).

Regarding claim 1, Loose teaches a gaming machine comprising: variable display means for variable displaying symbols. Additionally, Loose teaches an electric display device disposed in front of the variable display means, and having a light transparent area, which shows symbols, displayed on the variable display means, from outside of the gaming machine (see Fig. 5-6 and the related description thereof). Furthermore, Loose teaches an electric display device that includes an electric display panel display an image and illumination means illuminating the electric display panel from therebehind (see video display [14b] of Fig. 2b and the related description thereof). However, Loose does not specifically teach an embodiment of his invention implementing a light emitting diode. Although, Loose does teach that a video display may comprise of any type of video display known in the art from a CRT, LCD, dot matrix, LED, or electro-luminescent (see col. 3: In 33-40). Therefore it would be obvious to one of routine skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a LED display device as opposed to an LCD as taught in Loose in order to create an illumination means for a variable display device.

Art Unit: 3714

Regarding claim 2, Loose teaches a gaming machine wherein the electric display device comprises a light guiding plate (see mirror [20] of Fig 2b and the related description thereof) that guides light entered from a side face thereof, to a back face of the electric display device, so as to irradiate the light (see Figs. 5-6 and the related description thereof); and wherein the illumination means comprises a plurality of the light emitting diodes, each of which is continuously aligned along a side face of the light guiding plate (see display [14b] and mirror [20] of Fig. 2b and the related description thereof).

Claim 3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loose et al. as applied to claims above, and further in view of Seitz et al. (WO 00/49332).

Regarding claim 3, Loose teaches a game machine wherein the illumination means comprises a plurality of light emitting diodes as taught above. However Loose does not provide a formation of a matrix on an entire surface of the electric display device excluding the light transmitting area, the light emitting diodes opposing a back face of the electric display device. In a related teaching of LED devices, Seitz teaches an apparatus used in fuel dispensers that incorporates LED backlighting (see abstract). Seitz teaches the formation of placing LED's to backlight a liquid crystal display in a matrix configuration in order to eliminate the substantial absence of "non-lit" areas (see abstract, pg. 3: In 13-pg. 5: In 9). Seitz's configuration and backlighting LED device is taught as a solution to eliminate the inherent problem of LED backlighting commonly known as "hot-spot" lights which is an undesirable characteristic of using LEDs (see pg 2: In 3-25). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the matrix configuration as taught by

Seitz with the backlighting display apparatus of Loose in order to eliminate the same problem while being able to take advantage of the bright and stimulating display provided by LED's.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Weiss (US 6,164,645)- Gaming Machine.

Sakamoto (US 6,315,663 B1)- Game Machine and Method With Shifting Reels in Two Directions.

Motegi et al. (US 6,817,946 B2)- Virtual Image and Real Image, Image Display Control Method, and Image Display Control Program.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be direct to Ryan Hsu whose telephone number is (571)-272-7148. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert P Olszewski can be reached at (571)-272-6788.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 1-866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RH

JOHN M. HOTALING, IF PRIMARY EXAMINER